Nano-ADEPT Aeroloads Wind Tunnel Test

International Planetary Probe Workshop (IPPW-12)
15-19 June 2015
Cologne, Germany



Brandon Smith (presenter)
NASA Ames Research Center
Moffett Field, CA, USA
brandon.p.smith@nasa.gov

Industry Partners:
Bally Ribbon Mills
Thin Red Line Aerospace
CFDRC

Co-Authors:
A. Cassell, B. Yount, C. Kruger,
C. Brivkalns, A. Makino, K. Zarchi,
R. McDaniel, E. Venkatapathy
NASA Ames Research Center
G. Swanson
ERC Inc. / NASA Ames Research Center

Outline

- ADEPT background
- Nano-ADEPT development roadmap
- Test overview and objectives
- Test articles: solid and fabric
- Test matrix & execution
- Notable findings
- Video highlights
- Conclusions & next steps



Fabric model rear view mounted on sting in 7x10 Foot Wind Tunnel at NASA Ames Research Center

ADEPT:
Adaptable Deployable Entry and Placement Technology

- ADEPT is a mechanically deployed Entry, Descent, and Landing (EDL) system
  - Stows during launch and cruise (like an umbrella)
  - Serves as both heat shield and primary structure during EDL

- Nano-ADEPT is the application of ADEPT for small spacecraft where volume is a limiting constraint
  - NanoSats, CubeSats, other secondary payloads, etc.

- Why Nano-ADEPT?
  - Achieve rapid technology development extensible to large ADEPT applications
  - Give rise to novel applications for small spacecraft by offering an entry system



6 m diameter ADEPT-Venus
in cruise (left) and entry (right) configurations



0.7 m diameter Nano-ADEPT
shown with notional 2U chassis payload

Nano-ADEPT Development Roadmap to TRL 5

- Strategy addresses technical challenges with four system-level tests
- Common geometric features between design reference missions (DRMs), ground tests, and flight test provide ground-to-flight traceability

Config. 1



Θ_c = 70°
R_n= 0.25 m
R_b= 0.35 m
Rn/Rb= 0.71

Primary geometric features of deployment prototypes, subsonic aeroloads wind tunnel test articles, sounding rocket flight test, and some DRMs



Config. 2



Θ_c = 55°
R_n= 0.13 m
R_b= 0.18 m
Rn/Rb= 0.72

Primary geometric features of system-level arc jet tests (SPRITE-C)

Aeroloads Test Overview and Objectives

- Testing was completed in seven business days at the US Army's 7x10 Foot Wind Tunnel located at NASA Ames (27-Apr to 5-May 2015)
- Shared funding was provided through NASA STMD GCDP ADEPT program (FY15) and a NASA Ames Center Innovation Fund Award (FY14)
- Test objectives trace to technical challenges identified by the ADEPT development team
- Rich data set was obtained through instrumentation suite designed to be redundant yet non-invasive

Test Objective	Instrumentation
Obtain static deflected shape and pressure distributions while varying pre-tension* at dynamic pressures and angles of attack relevant to Nano-ADEPT entry conditions at Earth, Mars, and Venus. *Tension in the carbon fabric is caused by two sources: ***"pre-tension"*** resulting from the mechanical deployment of ADEPT prior to atmospheric entry and additional tension resulting from the aerodynamic load during entry	Photogrammetry; String potentiometers; Outer Mold Line (OML) static pressure taps
Observe dynamic aeroelastic behavior (buzz/flutter) if it occurs as a function of pre-tension, dynamic pressure, and angle of attack.	High speed video; Strut load cells
Obtain aerodynamic forces and moments as a function of pre-tension, dynamic pressure, and angle of attack.	Internal balance

Test Article Patterning



Photogrammetry Solution Overlay



Strut Load Cell Check Outs

Test Approach: Two Test Articles

Solid test article

- Simulates fabric test article with infinite pre-tension
- First article to be tested in the tunnel



Common pressure tap pattern

Fabric test article





Common sting/balance interface



Fabric Test Article Details





0º gore left unpainted to isolate effect of photogrammetry paint on fabric stiffness

White speckle paint is for photogrammetry surface tracking

- Flight-like carbon fabric skirt includes key features such as carbon yarn stitching and seam resin infusion
- Central nut moves all struts simultaneously to pre-determined positions to induce a known pre-tension in fabric (based on pre-test measurements – 20 lbf/in, 10 lbf/in, 5 lbf/in, 2 lbf/in)
  - Note that fabric test article does not deploy from a fully stowed state
- Ribs and struts (two per rib) are oversized compared to flight to comply with tunnel safety requirements and maximize use of COTS parts for cost savings
- String potentiometers are attached at mid-gore to give a live measure of deflection in the control room and provide a global view of gore deflections in lieu of photogrammetry view obstructions
- Blue lights indicate power to strut load cells

Test Matrix & Test Execution

- Photogrammetry and high speed video data were recorded at most test points
- Solid article was tested first
  - Q sweeps from 0-100 psf (bounds peak dynamic pressure for Nano-ADEPT Mars DRMs and some entry from LEO DRMs)
  - AoA/Yaw from -20 to +20
  - Repeats
- Fabric test article covered same range of Q and AoA as the solid test article
  - Four pre-tension "nut settings" were planned:
    - 20, 10, 5, 2 lbf/in
- Behavior of test article warranted modification of test matrix in real time
  - ~40% loss of pre-tension after the first run at 20 lbf/in due to fabric relaxation
  - Fabric was completely slack at 5 lbf/in nut setting
- Added to test matrix during test execution:
  - 20 lbf/in pre-tension based on in-tunnel measurement (post-relaxation)
  - Asymmetric shape (bonus experiment)



Nano-ADEPT Solid Test Article @ +20 deg AoA



Control Room

Static Pressure Data

- Static pressure taps on both test articles provided repeatable data (example shown below: solid test article pressure coefficient @ 100 psf)
- Instrumentation integration approach worked well and could be repeated for flight test
- Data is presently being compared to CFD solutions

Pressure tap













Fabric test article pressure coefficient @ 100 psf (20 lbf/in measured pre-tension)

Bonus Finding: Asymmetric Shape

- Two pairs of struts were shortened to give the 3 o'clock gore a cone angle of ~67° (compared with 70° for the rest of the gores)
  - Tension nut was set to 20 lbf/in nut setting so results may be compared with the same symmetric nut setting
- Resulted in offset of zero-moment angle of attack from 0° (symmetric) to +2.4° (asymmetric)
  - See yaw moment coefficient plot below
- At hypersonic speeds, the lift generated by deflecting gores could be used for vehicle control



Front View



Side View



20 lbf/in nut setting; Q = 100 psf

Video Highlights from 7x10 Test



Conclusions and Next Steps

- Analysis completed since the test suggests that all test objectives were met
  - This claim will be verified in the coming weeks as the data is examined further
  - Final disposition of test objective success will be documented in a final report submitted to NASA stakeholders (early August 2015)
  - Expect conference paper in early 2016

- Data products and observations made during testing will be used to refine computational models of Nano-ADEPT

- Carbon fabric relaxed from its pre-test state during the test
  - System-level tolerance for relaxation will be driven by destination-specific and mission-specific aerothermal and aerodynamic requirements

- Bonus experiment of asymmetric shape demonstrates that an asymmetric deployable blunt body can be used to generate measureable lift
  - With a strut actuation system and a robust GN&C algorithm, this effect could be used to steer a blunt body at hypersonic speeds to aid precision landing

ADEPT

Aeroloads Wind Tunnel Test Team Photo

Not pictured:
Kerry Zarchi
Ryan McDaniel
Sameera Gunatileka
Ethiraj Venkatapathy